

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:38 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe  
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309  
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe  
972-603-5946

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:37 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe  
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309  
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe  
972-603-5946

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:37 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe  
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309  
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe  
972-603-5946

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Cherisa Rempe [rempe77@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 10:37 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cherisa Rempe  
7549 Stonebrook Pkwy. #2309  
Frisco, TX 75034

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherisa Rempe  
972-603-5946

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP  
1110 8TH PLACE  
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup  
772-569-0645

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP  
1110 8TH PLACE  
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup  
772-569-0645

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP  
1110 8TH PLACE  
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup  
772-569-0645

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** CHERISH JESSUP [KCJ4GIVEN@AOL.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

CHERISH JESSUP  
1110 8TH PLACE  
VERO BEACH, FL 32960

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cherish Jessup  
772-569-0645



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Barnes [blessedlife@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 3:30 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryl Barnes  
20 Harbour Lane  
Richmond Hill, GA 31324

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Barnes

**Stephanie Kost**

---

Send: 9/22/2004 2:04 PM  
To: Jonathan Adelstein  
Subject: Re: A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Commissioner Adelstein,

I oppose the A La Carte Cable Regulation. It would greatly hinder Christian and Jewish broadcasts.

Sincerely,

Mary Lu DeWitt  
2000 S. Ocean Blvd 9A  
Boca Raton, FL 33432

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Catherine Alvarenga [cnaac19@optonline.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga  
350 Hancock St  
Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Alvarenga  
631-961-9912

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Catherine Alvarenga [mailto:CAlvarenga@optonline.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga  
350 Hancock St  
Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Alvarenga  
631-961-9912

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**Sent:** Catherine Alvarenga [mailto:alvarenga@cable.comcast.net]  
**To:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM  
**Subject:** Michael Powell  
Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga  
350 Hancock St  
Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Alvarenga  
631-961-9912

**Stephanie Kost**

---

Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM  
To: Michael Copps  
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga  
350 Hancock St  
Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Alvarenga  
631-961-9912

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Catherine Alvarenga [mailto:calvarenga@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga  
350 Hancock St  
Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Alvarenga  
631-961-9912

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**Sent:** Catherine Green [mailto:CGreen@att.net]  
**To:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:05 PM  
**Subject:** KAQuinn  
Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green  
414 thrush ave  
duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Green  
972-572-3616



**Stephanie Kost**

---

Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM  
To: Commissioner Adelstein  
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green  
414 thrush ave  
duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Green  
972-572-3616

**Stephanie Kost**

---

SENT: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM  
TO: KJMWEB  
SUBJECT: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green  
414 thrush ave  
duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Green  
972-572-3616

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green  
414 thrush ave  
duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Green  
972-572-3616

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Stephanie Kost  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:04 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Green  
414 thrush ave  
duncanville, tx 75116

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Green  
972-572-3616

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Carrie Mason [mason\_family@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 2:07 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carrie Mason  
RN, Nurse Manager  
State of Oklahoma  
11109 SW Pecan Road  
Lawton, Oklahoma 73505

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carrie S. Mason  
580-284-6198  
RN, Nurse Manager  
State of Oklahoma

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Carrie Mason [mason\_family@nnet.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 2:07 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Carrie Mason  
RN, Nurse Manager  
State of Oklahoma  
11109 SW Pecan Road  
Lawton, Oklahoma 73505

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Carrie S. Mason  
580-284-6198  
RN, Nurse Manager  
State of Oklahoma

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Barnes [blessedlife@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 3:30 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryl Barnes  
20 Harbour Lane  
Richmond Hill, GA 31324

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Barnes

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Barnes [blessedlife@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 3:30 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryl Barnes  
20 Harbour Lane  
Richmond Hill, GA 31324

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Barnes



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Barnes [blessedlife@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 3:30 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryl Barnes  
20 Harbour Lane  
Richmond Hill, GA 31324

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Barnes

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Barnes [blessedlife@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 3:30 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryl Barnes  
20 Harbour Lane  
Richmond Hill, GA 31324

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Barnes

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Harbour [auntcher@cfaith.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 9:05 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Harbour  
17 Smoke Tree Drive  
Fenton, MO 63026

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl M Harbour

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Harbour [auntcher@cfaith.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 9:05 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Harbour  
17 Smoke Tree Drive  
Fenton, MO 63026

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl M Harbour

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Cheryl Harbour [auntcher@cfaith.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 9:05 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Harbour  
17 Smoke Tree Drive  
Fenton, MO 63026

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl M Harbour

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cheryl Harbour [auntcher@cfaith.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 9:05 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cheryl Harbour  
17 Smoke Tree Drive  
Fenton, MO 63026

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin  
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl M Harbour